


Search Notes 	Application/Control No. 10700215	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SEVIER, RICHARD G.
	Examiner Storey, William C	Art Unit 2625

SEARCHED			
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
358		9/10/07	wcs
358	498	9/10/07	wcs
358	374	9/10/07	wcs
399	374	9/10/07	wcs
399	364	9/10/07	wcs
358	464	9/10/07	wcs
382		9/10/07	wcs
399		9/10/07	wcs
271		9/10/07	wcs
358	1.19	2/12/08	wcs
358	464	2/12/08	wcs
358	3.24	2/12/08	wcs
358	1.19, 464, 3.24	9/2/08	wcs
358	1.19, 464, 3.24	12/22/08, 3/12/9	wcs

SEARCH NOTES		
Search Notes	Date	Examiner
east text search	9/10/07	wcs
discourse with scott rogers	9/10/07	wcs
discourse with fan lee	9/10/07	wcs
discourse with jerome grant	9/10/07	wcs
discourse with negussie worku	9/10/07	wcs
discourse with charlotte baker	9/10/07	wcs
east text search	2/12/08	wcs
east text search	5/5/08	wcs
east text search	9/2/08	wcs
king poon and dave moore agreed with obviousness rejection of claim 1	12/22/08	wcs
east text search	12/22/08, 3/12/9	wcs
Twyler Haskins said something along the lines of 1. that if the applicant does not specifically state a signal or other explicit nonstatutory embodiment for a computer readable medium, it should not be read into the claims even when the specification open-endedly states that a computer readable media may be practically anything. She said to give the applicant the benefit of the doubt that the applicant is claiming statutory material.		5/21/09 wcs

--	--

SEARCH NOTES		
Search Notes	Date	Examiner
2. She said that claim 1 should not be rejected because of a 101 "tied to" issue because a scan engine and other engines are mentioned.	5/21/09	wcs

INTERFERENCE SEARCH			
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner